# The Influence of Hypophosphatemia on ICU Outcomes in Elderly Critically III Patients

Nazlıhan Boyacı Dündar<sup>1</sup>, Kamil İnci<sup>1</sup>, Kamil Berkay Hasmercan<sup>2</sup>, Kamil Melda Türkoğlu<sup>1</sup>, Kamil Ökübin Aygencel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Gazi University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Intensive Care Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye <sup>2</sup>Gazi University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye

#### Abstract

**Objective:** Hypophosphatemia is a critical condition in intensive care settings, often linked to adverse clinical outcomes. Elderly patients, due to factors such as malnutrition, comorbidities, and altered renal function, are vulnerable to this condition. This study aimed to evaluate the incidence, associated factors, and clinical implications of hypophosphatemia in elderly critically ill patients.

**Materials and Methods:** A retrospective cohort study was conducted in a tertiary intensive care unit (ICU) between January 2020 and December 2022. Patients aged  $\geq$ 65 years were divided into two groups: hypophosphatemic and non-hypophosphatemic. Hypophosphatemia was defined as a serum phosphate level <2.5 mg/dL.

**Results:** Among 433 elderly critically ill patients, the incidence of hypophosphatemia was 18.5%. Logistic regression analysis identified cardiac decompensation as the reason for ICU admission [odds ratio (OR): 2.33, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.09-4.95, p=0.028]; absence of renal injury according to the Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function, and End-stage kidney disease (RIFLE) classification (OR: 3.83, 95% CI: 2.19-6.71, p<0.001); hypokalemia (OR: 2.61, 95% CI: 1.46-4.69, p=0.001); and hypoalbuminemia (OR: 2.61, 95% CI: 1.46-4.67, p=0.01) as independent risk factors for hypophosphatemia. Subgroup analysis revealed a higher prevalence of hypophosphatemia of 32.8%, in patients without renal injury according to the RIFLE classification. However, hypophosphatemia was not associated with adverse clinical outcomes, including the requirement of mechanical ventilation, an increased ICU length of stay, or higher mortality, even after excluding patients with renal injury according to the RIFLE classification.

**Conclusion:** Contrary to expectations, this study found that hypophosphatemia incidence is not higher in elderly critically ill patients than in the general ICU population. Although current literature has emphasized the association between hypophosphatemia and negative clinical outcomes, our study did not demonstrate this association, suggesting, hypophosphatemia is an indicator of disease severity rather than a risk factor for mortality.

Keywords: Clinical geriatrics, elderly critical ill, geriatric care management hypophosphatemia, intensive care management, mortality, refeeding syndrome

#### Introduction

Phosphate is an essential anion for cellular function, including energy production, cell membrane integrity, and muscle function, making its deficiency particularly detrimental in critically ill patients (1-4). Several studies have emphasized the association between hypophosphatemia and adverse clinical outcomes in intensive care unit (ICU) settings. (2-5). The mechanical ventilation (MV) requirement is significantly higher in hypophosphatemic patients, indicating more severe respiratory compromise (4). Longer ICU and hospital stays in patients with hypophosphatemia compared to those with normal phosphate levels have been demonstrated (2,4). Some studies have shown the link between hypophosphatemia and increased mortality in ICU patients (5). However, recent metaanalyses concluded that hypophosphatemia is an indicator of disease severity rather than an independent risk factor for mortality (1,6).

Cite this article as: Boyaci Dündar N, İnci K, Hasmercan B, Türkoğlu M, Aygencel G. The influence of hypophosphatemia on ICU outcomes in elderly critically III patients Eur J Geriatr Gerontol.



Address for Correspondence: Nazlıhan Boyacı Dündar, Gazi University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Intensive Care Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye

E-mail: nazlihan\_boyaci@yahoo.com ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0003-4264-9249 Received: 31.12.2024 Accepted: 05.05.2025 Epub: 11.07.2025

Critically ill patients, including postoperative, septic, and trauma patients, are known to be at risk of hypophosphatemia due to decreased intestinal absorption, redistribution of extracellular fluids to intracellular compartments, increased consumption in catabolic phases, increased renal excretion, and iatrogenic complications of renal replacement therapy (RRT) (2-7). The incidence of hypophosphatemia in this patient group varies widely, with some studies reporting rates as high as 28-60% (1). Hypophosphatemia often coexists with other electrolyte abnormalities, such as hypokalemia and hypomagnesemia, complicating the clinical picture. Additionally, low serum albumin levels, indicative of poor nutritional status, are frequently observed in patients with hypophosphatemia (4). Elderly patients are particularly vulnerable to hypophosphatemia due to factors such as malnutrition and associated refeeding syndrome, impaired renal function, and the use of medications like diuretics, steroids, and some antimicrobials that can contribute to phosphate depletion (7-10). Understanding the prevalence and implications of hypophosphatemia in the elderly population is crucial for improving patient outcomes. In the current literature, few studies reveal the clinical consequences of hypophosphatemia, especially in elderly critically ill patients. With this study, we aimed to reveal the incidence of hypophosphatemia, concurrent electrolyte disorders, associated factors, and outcomes in the elderly critically ill population.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Study Design and Setting**

This retrospective cohort study was carried out in the ninebed tertiary medical ICU at Gazi University Hospital between January 2020 and December 2022. The research protocol was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of Gazi University, Faculty of Medicine, (approval number: 996621, research code number: 2024-1132, date: 09.07.2024). Informed consent was not obtained as the data were collected retrospectively. This study protocol also complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### **Participants**

Critically ill patients were included if they were  $\geq 65$  years old. Patients were excluded if they died within 24 hours, were transferred from other ICUs, or had recurrent ICU admissions. Patients without serum phosphate levels on admission to the ICU were also excluded from the study.

## Data Collection

Epidemiological and laboratory data were retrieved from electronic hospital systems and medical archives. We collected demographic details, including age, gender, ICU admission causes, comorbidities, and clinical severity assessments such as the Glasgow Coma Scale, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II), Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA), Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function, and Endstage kidney disease (RIFLE) Score, as well as the infection source in septic patients. Additionally, data regarding the clinical parameters related to ICU admission, RRT requirement , and nutritional support, albumin replacement, length of ICU stay, and ICU mortality rates were documented.

The APACHE II, RIFLE, and SOFA scores were computed within the initial 24 hours of ICU admission to assess the severity of the illness. Hypophosphatemia was defined as a serum phosphate level under 2.5 mg/dL (<0.81 mmol/L) on ICU admission (11). The other electrolyte disorders were also defined according to the lower limit of the reference laboratory of Gazi University Hospital: hypokalemia as a serum potassium level was under 3.5 mEq/L, hypomagnesemia as a serum magnesium level was under 1.3 mg/dL, and hypoalbuminemia as a serum albumin level was under 3.0 g/dL on ICU admission.

### **Statistics**

Continuous variables were reported as mean ± standard deviation or median with interquartile range, depending on their distribution. Categorical variables were summarized as frequencies and percentages. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the medians of continuous variables, and the chi-squared test was used to compare categorical variables. Patients were categorized into two groups based on the presence of hypophosphatemia. Data were compared between both hypophosphatemic and non-hypophosphatemic patients. Due to the higher prevalence of renal injury in nonhypophosphatemic patients according to the RIFLE classification, a subgroup analysis was performed on non-hypophosphatemic patients without renal injury at ICU admission due to the higher prevalence of renal injury, was performed on patients without renal injury based on the RIFLE classification at ICU admission. Variables associated with ICU mortality were also analyzed and provided in a supplementary file. Logistic regression analysis was used to determine independent risk factors for hypophosphatemia and ICU mortality. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical program version 22.0 (IBM Corp., New York, NY).

## Results

Six hundred seventy-nine ICU admissions were detected during the study period. After excluding patients aged under 65, those who stayed less than 24 hours, and those with recurrent ICU admissions, 433 patients were included in further statistical analysis. The baseline characteristics and ICU-related data according to hypophosphatemia on ICU admission are given in Tables 1 and 2. Hypophosphatemia prevalence was 18.5% within the study population. In terms of reasons for ICU admission, cardiac decompensation was observed more frequently in hypophosphatemic patients, while renal failure was detected at a higher rate in non-hypophosphatemic patients (cardiac decompensation 13.8% vs. 2.6%, p=0.02, renal failure 28.8% vs. 54.7%, p<0.001) (Table 1). According to the RIFLE classification at ICU admission, the risk, injury, loss, and end-stage renal disease categories were higher in non-hypophosphatemic patients (p-values, respectively 0.045, 0.053, 0.014, and <0.017) (Table 1). The two groups had no difference in the requirement for MV, nutritional support, albumin replacement, ICU mortality, length of ICU stay, and length of hospital stay prior to ICU admission (Table 1). When laboratory findings on ICU admission were compared, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, potassium, magnesium, and albumin were significantly lower among hypophosphatemic patients (Table 2). Based on the evaluation of risk factors associated with hypophosphatemia on ICU admission using logistic regression analysis, cardiac decompensation as a reason for ICU admission [odds ratio (OR) 95% confidence interval (CI): 2.33 (1.09-4.95)], p=0.028), absence of renal injury according to RIFLE classification (OR 95% CI: 3.83 (2.19-6.71), p<0.001), hypokalemia (OR 95% CI: 2.61 (1.46-4.69), p=0.001), and hypoalbuminemia (OR 95% CI: 2.61 (1.46-4.67), p=0.01) were defined as independent variables related to hypophosphatemia (Table 3).

In the subgroup analysis evaluating 146 patients without renal injury according to the RIFLE classification on admission to the ICU, hypophosphatemia prevalence was 32.8% within the subgroup population. No statistically significant relationship was found between hypophosphatemia and either the

reasons for ICU admission or comorbidities. Unlike in the overall study population, the subgroup analysis revealed that hypophosphatemic patients without renal injury according to the RIFLE classification had a significantly higher SOFA Score and a longer hospital stay prior to ICU admission (p-values of 0.015 and 0.016, respectively) (Table 4). In the subgroup analysis, hypophosphatemia was not significantly associated with nutritional support, requirement of MV, ICU mortality, or length of ICU stay (p-values, respectively 0.528, 0.239, 0.121, 0.140 and 0.393) (Table 4). When laboratory findings on ICU admission were compared, BUN, creatinine, potassium, and albumin were significantly lower among hypophosphatemic patients (Table 5). Based on the logistic regression analysis of factors associated with hypophosphatemia at ICU admission within the subgroup, the SOFA Score (OR 95% CI:1.069 (0.945-1.210), p=0.288), the length of hospital stay prior to ICU admission (OR 95% CI:1.006 (0.962-1.051), p=0.794), hypoalbuminemia (OR 95% CI:1.597 (0.577-4.417), p=0.367) or hypokalemia (OR 95% CI:1.385 (0.576-3.332), p=0.467) were not identified as independent risk factors for hypophosphatemia. Serum creatinine (OR 95% Cl. 0.238 (0.074-0.769), p=0.016) and pH (OR 95%Cl. 230.616 (3.244-16394.30), p=0.012) were defined as independent variables related to hypophosphatemia.

Detailed information on the comparison of clinical characteristics and laboratory findings of the overall study cohort based on ICU mortality is also provided in Supplemantary Tables 1, 2, and 3 of the supplemental file.

circically in patients				
	All patients (n=433)	Hypophosphatemic patients (n=80)	Non-hypophosphatemic patients (n=353)	р
Baseline characteristics and ICU admission data				
Age*	77 (71-83)	77 (71-84)	76 (70-83)	0.852
Gender, n (%)				
Female	198 (45.7)	32 (40)	166 (47)	0.266
Male	235 (54.3)	48 (43.4)	187 (53)	
APACHE II Score*	20 (16-27)	21 (16-26)	20 (16-27)	0.800
SOFA Score*	6 (3-9)	6 (3-8)	6 (4-9)	0.305
Glasgow Coma Scale*	13 (8-15)	12 (9-15)	13 (8-15)	0.414
Length of ICU stay (day)*	16 (8-31)	18 (9-33)	16 (8-31)	0.628
Length of hospital stay prior to ICU admission (day)*	2 (0-8)	3 (1-9)	1 (0-8)	0.203
Reason for ICU admission, n (%)				
Sepsis	225 (52)	40 (50)	185 (52.5)	0.621
Renal failure	216 (49.9)	23 (28.8)	193 (54.7)	<0.001
Respiratory failure	243(56.1)	46 (57.5)	197 (55.8)	0.906
Cardiac decompensation	103 (23.8)	11 (13.8)	92 (2.6)	0.02
Acute GI disorders	46 (10.6)	1 (12.5)	36 (10.2)	0.553

Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics and ICU-related data according to hypophosphatemia on ICU admission in elderly
critically ill patients

	All patients	Hypophosphatemic	Non-hypophosphatemic patients (n=353)	р
	(n=433)	patients (n=80)		0.007
Acute hepatobiliary disease	38 (8.8)	8 (10)	30 (8.6)	0.667
Acute neurological disorders	54 (12.5)	9 (11.2)	45 (12.7)	0.852
Metabolic disturbances	16 (3.7)	2 (2.5)	14 (4)	0.747
Surgery	13 (3)	1 (1.3)	12 (3.4)	0.477
Trauma	7 (1.6)	0	7 (2)	0.357
Comorbidities, n (%)				
Chronic renal disease	127 (29.3)	10 (12.5)	117 (32.1)	<0.001
Pulmonary disease	156 (36)	34 (42.5)	122 (34.6)	0.190
Cardiac disorders	315 (72.7)	48 (60)	267 (75.6)	0.003
Gastroenterological	23 (5.3)	4 (5)	19 (5.4)	1.0
Neurological	105 (24.2)	25 (31.3)	80 (22.7)	0.114
Rheumatological	43 (9.9)	8 (9.6)	35 (9.9)	0.991
Endocrinological	161 (37.2)	23 (28.8)	138 (39.1)	0.095
Malignancy	149 (34.4)	35 (43.8)	114 (32.3)	0.156
Concomitant shock with septic patients, n (%)	193 (44.6)	36 (45)	157 (44.5)	0.892
Source of sepsis, n (%)				
Respiratory system	90 (20.8)	22 (27.5)	68 (19.3)	0.11
Jrinary tract	74 (17.1)	12 (15)	62 (17.6)	0.461
BSI	74 (17.1)	15 (18.8)	59 (16.7)	0.854
Abdominal	22 (5)	3 (3.8)	19 (5.4)	0.579
Soft tissue	12 (2.8)	3 (3.8)	9 (2.5)	0.705
Others	3 (1)	0	3 (1)	1.0
RIFLE stage, n (%)				
Risk	109 (25.2)	13 (16.3)	96 (27.2)	0.045
njury	64 (14.8)	6 (7.5)	58 (16.4)	0.053
Failure	83 (19.2)	10 (12.5)	73 (20.7)	0.115
_055	11 (2.5)	3 (3.8)	10 (3.1)	0.014
Endstage	32 (7.4)	1 (1.2)	31 (8.8)	0.017
Nutritional support, n (%)				
Parenteral	34 (7.9)	9 (11.3)	25 (7.1)	0.25
Enteral	250 (57.7)	52 (65)	198 (56.1)	0.161
Requirement of respiratory support, n (%)				
nvasive mechanical ventilation	224 (51.7)	42 (52.5)	182 (51.6)	0.928
Non-invasive mechanical ventilation	98 (22.6)	18 (22.5)	80 (22.7)	0.949
IFNO	22 (5.1)	6 (7.5)	16 (4.5)	0.269
Requirement of RRT, n (%)				
lemodialysis	110 (25)	10 (12.5)	100 (28.3)	0.003
CRRT	86 (6.9)	13 (16.3)	73 (20.7)	0.348
Albumin Replacement, n (%)	149 (34.4)	30 (37.5)	119 (33.7)	0.570
ICU mortality, n (%)	197 (45.5)	37 (46.3)	160 (45.3)	0.881

\*Median (25<sup>th</sup> percentile-75<sup>th</sup> percentile). APACHE: Acute physiology and chronic health evaluation, SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, ICU: Intensive care unit, BSI: Bloodstream infection, HFNO: High flow nasal oxygen, RRT: Renal replacement therapy, CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy, RIFLE: Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function, and End-stage kidney disease

	All patients (n=433)	Hypophosphatemic patients (n=80)	Non-hypophosphatemic patients (n=353)	р
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)	42 (27.8-62.3)	28 (19.6-44)	46 (30-60)	<0.001
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.58 (0.95-2.72)	0.91 (0.6-1.31)	1.76 (1.08-2.92)	<0.001
Sodium (mEq/L)	139 (134-142)	140 (136-144)	138 (134-142)	0.006
Potassium (mEq/L)	4.05 (3.55-4.67)	3.6 (3.3-4.14)	4.14 (3.6-4.8)	<0.001
Chlorine (mEq/L)	103 (99-108)	105 (100-110)	102 (98-107)	0.007
Calcium (mg/dL)	9.1 (8.5-9.6)	9 (8.2-9.5)	9.1 (8.5-9.6)	0.062
Phosphorus (mg/dL)	3.6 (2.8-4.9)	2.05 (1.8-2.3)	4 (3.2-5.3)	0.000
Magnesium (mg/dL)	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	1.8 (1.6-2.3)	2 (1.7-2.2)	0.003
Alanine transaminase (U/L)	23 (13-51)	25 (16-48)	23 (12-53)	0.28
Aspartat transaminase (U/L)	34 (19-71)	36 (22-67)	32 (19-73)	0.84
Lactate dehidrogenase (U/L)	302 (217-468)	280 (199-408)	310 (224-484)	0.04
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.92 (0.59-1.62)	0.84 (0.57-1.47)	0.92 (0.59-1.63)	0.5
Direct bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.28 (0.15-0.7)	0.27 (0.16-0.55)	0.28 (0.15-0.74)	0.98
Albumin (g/dL)	2.6 (2.3-3.0)	2.4 (2.15-2.8)	2.7(2.3-3.1)	0.001
Blood gase sampling				
рН	7.36 (7.29-7.44)	7.42 (7.35-7.47)	7.35 (7.27-7.42)	<0.001
HCO <sub>3</sub> (mEq/L)	20.8 (16.9-25.4)	23.9 (19.7-27)	20 (16.4-25)	<0.001
Lactate (mmol/L)	2 (1.3-3.4)	1.9 (1.3-3.1)	2.1 (1.3-3.5)	0.499
White blood cell count ( /µl)	10530 (6910-15700)	10545 (6920-15085)	10530 (6910-15800)	0.931
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	97.2 (42.5-171)	94.6 (44-160)	98 (42-179)	0.67
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	0.93 (0.28-3.97)	0.7 (0.21-3.37)	0.96 (0.31-3.97)	0.07

#### Table 3. Multivariate analysis of independent risk factors for hypophosphatemia in elderly patients on ICU admission

	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	р
Cardiac decompensation as a reason for ICU admission	2.33 (1.09-4.95)	0.028
The absence of renal injury according to RIFLE classification	3.83 (2.19-6.71)	<0.001
Requirement of hemodialysis	0.57 (0.26-1.21)	0.142
Hypokalemia	2.61 (1.46-4.69)	0.001
Hypomagnesemia	0.72 (0.13-3.94)	0.704
Hypoalbuminemia	2.61 (1.46-4.67)	0.01
ICU: Intensive care unit RIFLE: Risk Injury Failure Loss and End-stage Kidney Di	sease OB: Odds ratio CI: Confidence interval	

ICU: Intensive care unit, RIFLE: Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss and End-stage Kidney Disease OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval

## Table 4. Comparison of baseline characteristics and ICU-related data according to hypophosphatemia in elderly critically ill patients without renal injury according to the RIFLE classification on ICU admission

	All patients (n=146)	Hypophosphatemic patients (n=48)	Non-hypophosphatemic patients (n=98)	р
Baseline characteristics and ICU admission da	ata			·
Age*	76 (70-82)	78 (71-84)	75 (70-81)	0.239
Gender, n (%)				0.198
Female	78 (53.4)	22 (45.8)	53 (57.1)	
Male	68 (46.6)	26 (54.2)	42 (42.9)	
APACHE II Score*	18 (14-22)	19 (16-24)	17 (13-22)	0.179
SOFA Score*	4 (2-6)	5 (3-7)	3 (2-6)	0.015

	All patients	Hypophosphatemic	Non-hypophosphatemic	р
	(n=146)	patients (n=48)	patients (n=98)	
Glasgow Coma Scale*	14 (9-15)	12 (9-15)	14 (9-15)	0.127
Length of ICU stay (day)*	7 (7-14)	9 (4-21)	7 (4-11)	0.393
Length of hospital stay prior to ICU admission (day)*	2 (0-9)	3 (1-10)	1 (0-6)	0.016
Reason for ICU Admission, n (%)				
Sepsis	56 (38.6)	20 (41.7)	36 (37.1)	0.596
Respiratory failure	96 (66.2)	29 (60.8)	67 (69.1)	0.30
Cardiac decompensation	31 (21.4)	8 (16.7)	23 (23.7)	0.33
Acute GI disorders	18 (12.4)	8 (16.7)	10 (10.3)	0.275
Acute hepatobiliary disease	11 (7.6)	5 (10.4)	6 (5.2)	0.179
Acute neurological disorders	18 (12.4)	5 (10.4)	13 (13.4)	0.608
Metabolic disturbances	4 (2.8)	0 (0)	4 (4.1)	0.302
Surgery	6 (4.1)	1 (2.1)	5 (5.2)	0.382
Trauma	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	1 (1.0)	1.00
Comorbidities, n (%)				
Pulmonary disease	68 (47.2)	22 (46.8)	46 (47.4)	1.00
Cardiac disorders	97 (67.4)	27 (57.4)	70 (72.2)	0.077
Gastroenterological	6 (4.2)	4 (8.5)	2 (2.1)	0.089
Neurological	44 (30.6)	16 (34.0)	28 (28.9)	0.527
Rheumatological	4 (2.8)	0 (0)	4 (4.1)	0.304
Endocrinological	45 (31.3)	10 (21.3)	35 (36.1)	0.072
Malignancy	53 (37.1)	22 (46.8)	31 (32.3)	0.091
Concomitant shock with septic patients, n (%)	43 (29.9)	17 (36.2)	26 (26.8)	0.250
Source of sepsis, n (%)				
Respiratory system	31 (21.2)	14 (29.1)	17 (17.3)	0.186
Urinary tract	19 (13.1)	4 (8.3)	15 (15.3)	0.061
BSI	17 (11.6)	7 (3.5)	17 (17.3)	0.723
Abdominal	19 (13.0)	4 (8.3)	15 (15.3)	1.00
Soft tissue	4 (7.5)	1 (2)	3 (3.0)	0.627
Others	0	0	0	
Nutritional support, n (%)				
Parenteral	12 (8.3)	5 (3.9)	7 (8.1)	0.528
Enteral	85 (59)	31 (66)	54 (55.7)	0.239
Requirement of respiratory support, n (%)				
nvasive mechanical ventilation	70 (48.6)	27 (57.4)	43 (44.3)	0.140
Non-invasive mechanical ventilation	35 (24.3)	12 (25.5)	23 (23.7)	0.811
HFNO	9 (6.3)	3 (6.4)	6 (6.2)	1.00
Requirement of RRT, n (%)				
Hemodialysis	14 (9.7)	4 (8.3)	10 (10.3)	1.00
CRRT	13 (9.0)	4 (8.3)	9 (9.3)	1.00
Albumin replacement, n (%)	46 (32.2)	19 (40.4)	27 (28.1)	0.139
ICU mortality, n (%)	54 (37.0)	22 (45.8)	32 (32.7)	0.133

\*Median (25<sup>th</sup> percentile-75<sup>th</sup> percentile).

APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation, SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, ICU: Intensive care unit, BSI: Bloodstream infection, HFNO: High flow nasal oxygen, RRT: Renal replacement therapy, CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy, RIFLE: Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function, and End-stage kidney disease GI: Gastrointestinal.

	All patients (n=433)	Hypophosphatemic patients (n=80)	Non-hypophosphatemic patients (n=353)	р
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)	28 (19-38.5)	24 (15.7-30.4)	29 (20.7-40)	0.025
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.85 (0.6-1.07)	0.75 (0.53-0.94)	0.92 (0.65-1.14)	0.004
Sodium (mEq/L)	140 (136-142)	140 (137-143)	139 (135-141)	0.067
Potassium (mEq/L)	3.8 (3.5-4.38)	3.6 (3.3-4.05)	3.87 (3.5-4.5)	0.007
Chlorine (mEq/L)	103 (98-108)	105 (100-110)	101 (98-107)	0.027
Calcium (mg/dL)	9.0 (8.3-9.4)	8.8 (8.3-9.3)	9.0 (8.2-9.5)	0.160
Phosphorus (mg/dL)	3.0 (2.4-3.8)	2.1 (1.9-2.4)	3.5 (3-4.3)	0.000
Magnesium (mg/dL)	1.8 (1.7-2.1)	1.8 (1.7-2.1)	1.85 (1.7-2.1)	0.593
Alanine transaminase (U/L)	22.5 (16-44.5)	19 (12-33)	29 (17-49)	0.121
Aspartat transaminase (U/L)	30 (18-49)	33 (23-55)	29 (17-49)	0.314
Lactate dehidrogenase (U/L)	273 (214-428)	294 (247-401)	269 (211-434)	0.809
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.78 (0.51-1.33)	0.78 (0.54-1.47)	0.77 (0.51-1.33)	0.736
Direct bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.21 (0.13-0.4)	0.25 (0.16-0.48)	0.2 (0.12-0.35)	0.084
Albumin (g/dL)	2.6 (2.3-3.1)	2.4 (2.05-2.8)	2.4 (2.7-3.1)	0.00
Blood gase sampling				
рН	7.41 (7.35-7.46)	7.43 (7.36-7.47)	7.40 (7.32-7.46)	0.017
HCO <sub>3</sub> (mEq/L)	25.1 (20.3-29.3)	25.2 (22.4-28.5)	25 (19.7-30.6)	0.623
Lactate (mmol/L)	1.6 (1.2-2.4)	1.6 (1.3-2.4)	1.6 (1.2-2.4)	0.993
White blood cell count (/µl)	104420 (6410-15640)	10545 (6610-16455)	7500 (15400-10420)	0.659
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	82 (28-174)	97.8 (31-155)	77.7 (24.5-137)	0.330
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	0.34 (0.14-1.1)	0.37 (0.14-1.36)	0.31 (0.14-1.02)	0.797

Table 5. Baseline laboratory findings according to hypophosphatemia in elderly critically ill patients without renal injury according to the RIFLE classification on ICU admission\*

HCO3: Bicarbonate RIFLE: Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function, and End-stage kidney disease

## Discussion

Our analysis has several important findings that clarify the incidence and importance of hypophosphatemia in the critically ill elderly population. The hypophosphatemia incidence was 18.5% within the study population. Independent risk factors for hypophosphatemia include cardiac decompensation as a reason for ICU admission, absence of renal injury according to RIFLE classification, hypokalemia, and hypoalbuminemia. The subgroup analysis demonstrated that hypophosphatemia was more prevalent in the absence of concomitant renal injury according to the RIFLE classification, and was associated with a longer hospital stay prior to ICU admission and a higher SOFA score. We also found that hypophosphatemia is not associated with adverse clinical outcomes, such as the requirement of MV, increased length of ICU stay, or increased mortality; even in the subgroup analysis excluding patients with renal injury according to the RIFLE classification.

In our study, which focused exclusively on elderly critically ill patients, we determined the incidence of hypophosphatemia to be 18.5%. After excluding the patients with renal injury based on the RIFLE classification at ICU admission, the incidence of

hypophosphatemia was 32.8%. Several studies have reported hypophosphatemia rates as high as 28-60% in adult critically ill populations (1,4,12). The difference in rates is predominantly due to the study population and the serum phosphate level threshold. (4,6,13). Our study distinguishes itself from previous literature by targeting a specific cohort. As the population ages, elderly patients now account for the vast majority of patients admitted to ICUs (14,15). Elderly patients are particularly vulnerable to hypophosphatemia due to factors such as malnutrition, comorbidities, altered renal function, various medications leading to phosphate depletion, and risk of refeeding syndrome (7-9). Contrary to expectations, this study found that hypophosphatemia incidence is not higher in elderly critically ill patients than in the general ICU population. Although existing literature has demonstrated hypophosphatemia in hospitalized geriatric populations at a rate of 7-14%, this discrepancy can be attributed to the varying cutoffs used for hypophosphatemia (8,16). In this study, the cutoff for hypophosphatemia is 2.5 mg/ dL (<0.81 mmol/L) according to the lower limit of reference laboratory, whereas <0.68 mmol/L and 0.77 mmol/L were defined for previous studies, respectively (9,16).

Hypophosphatemia often coexists with other electrolyte abnormalities. Suzuki et al. (13) demonstrated the relationship between hypophosphatemia and other electrolyte disturbances (4). They found that hypophosphatemic patients had lower potassium and calcium concentrations, and a higher incidence of alkalemia. They also reported that in patients without any episodes of hyperphosphatemia, a lower minimum serum albumin level and a lower maximum creatinine level were significantly and independently associated with hypophosphatemia (13). These results were very similar to the results of our study. Our study demonstrates that hypophosphatemia in elderly critically ill patients is associated with lower serum levels of potassium, calcium, magnesium, and albumin, as well as elevated pH and bicarbonate (HCO<sub>2</sub>) levels. These findings may partly reflect differences in renal impairment between groups. Notably, patients with hypophosphatemia had a significantly lower incidence of renal impairment according to the RIFLE classification, along with reduced BUN and creatinine levels. Subgroup analysis of patients without renal injury supported this trend, showing persistently lower levels of BUN, creatinine, potassium, and albumin in the hypophosphatemic group. Furthermore, multivariate analysis identified absence of renal injury, hypokalemia, and hypoalbuminemia, as independent predictors of hypophosphatemia at ICU admission.

Contrary to previous studies, our findings suggest that admission to the ICU due to cardiac decompensation is an independent factor associated with hypophosphatemia (3,4,10). While earlier research has shown that surgical patients are less likely to develop hypophosphatemia and that patients with hypophosphatemia are more prone to infections and sepsis upon ICU admission, these studies did not establish a significant correlation between hypophosphatemia and underlying diseases (4,10,3). The discrepancy in our results may be attributed to the characteristics of our study population, which primarily consisted of elderly critically ill patients admitted to the ICU for medical, rather than surgical, reasons. Age-related chronic cardiac conditions are commonly observed in this population. Hypophosphatemia occurs in approximately 13% of patients with heart failure and may result from reduced oral intake, ongoing pharmacological treatments, concomitant electrolyte disturbances, and increased sympathetic nervous system activity (17,18). It has been shown to impair cardiac muscle contraction by disrupting adenosine triphosphate synthesis and to induce ventricular arrhythmias, thereby potentially contributing to the development or worsening of heart failure (19,20,21). Although our retrospective design precluded detailed data collection on diuretic regimens, increased renal excretion of phosphorus, potassium, and calcium due to diuretic therapy may also explain the lower levels of these electrolytes observed in hypophosphatemic patients (22).

In the geriatric population, both hypophosphatemia and hypoalbuminemia are commonly associated with malnutrition (8,9,13,16,23). Ensuring adequate caloric intake upon hospitalization, however, can increase the risk of refeeding and refeeding-associated hypophosphatemia syndrome (9,24). Our study aligns with this literature, highlighting hypoalbuminemia and hypokalemia as independent risk factors for hypophosphatemia. Additionally, the subgroup analysis of patients without renal injury according to the RIFLE classification further supports this link, as the hypophosphatemic group exhibited higher prevalence of hypokalemia and hypoalbuminemia, and prolonged hospital stays prior to ICU admission, and prolonged hospital stays. The fact that nutritional risk scores specific to the geriatric population, along with the lack of available data on caloric intake before ICU admission due to the retrospective nature of the study prevents us from demonstrating this relationship. In this respect, designing largescale, multicenter prospective studies would be beneficial.

The most important result of our study, which differs from the current literature, is that hypophosphatemia is not associated with adverse clinical outcomes, such as prolonged MV duration, increased length of ICU stay, or increased mortality in elderly critically ill patients (3,4,25,26). This discrepancy from the existing literature may be attributed to our exclusive focus on the geriatric population, differences in the defined threshold for hypophosphatemia, as well as the inclusion of patients with hyperphosphatemia in our cohort. Our research recruited patients from the tertiary university medical ICU, providing a more specific and in-depth analysis tailored to this subgroup. In this study, there were two main reasons for including hyperphosphatemic patients. The first was to reveal the exact incidence of hypophosphatemia in the elderly critically ill population. The second was to assess whether hypophosphatemia alone is a risk factor for adverse clinical outcomes in a patient group characterized by high risk of malnutrition and reduced renal function. Nevertheless, in the subgroup analysis excluding patients with renal impairment at admission based on the RIFLE classification, hypophosphatemia was not found to be associated with adverse clinical outcomes in elderly critically ill patients. Previous studies have also demonstrated that the disease severity scores were high in hypophosphatemic patients (12,13). The disease severity scores, such as APACHE II and SOFA Scores, did not differ between hypophosphatemic and non-hypophosphatemic patients in our study population, but the SOFA Score was found to be high in hypophosphatemic patients without renal injury. This finding may reflect that hypophosphatemia indicates disease severity rather than an independent risk factor for mortality (1,2,14).

#### **Study Limitations**

Although the findings of this study have important clinical implications for the management of elderly critically ill patients, it is important to acknowledge several limitations of our research. The major limitation of this study is its retrospective design, which prevents a comprehensive assessment of patients' nutritional status and caloric intake both prior to and during their ICU stay, thereby hindering an objective evaluation of the association between hypophosphatemia and refeeding syndrome. Additionally, the study lacks detailed information on fluid management strategies, diuretic regimens, steroid use, catecholamines, and aminoglycosides, all of which can contribute to hypophosphatemia. Finally, the generalizability of the results is inherently limited by the single-center nature of the cohort.

### Conclusion

Contrary to expectations, we found that hypophosphatemia incidence is not higher in elderly critically ill patients than in the general ICU population. Likewise, it was not correlated with negative clinical outcomes in ICU settings. Admission to the ICU for cardiac decompensation, along with hypoalbuminemia and hypokalemia as independent risk factors for hypophosphatemia, highlights the need for careful evaluation of hypophosphatemia in this population. Additionally, multicenter prospective studies in mixed ICU populations are required to better elucidate the relationship between hypophosphatemia and malnutrition in elderly critically ill patients.

#### Ethics

**Ethics Committee Approval:** The research protocol was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of Gazi University, Faculty of Medicine, (approval number: 996621, research code number: 2024–1132, date: 09.07.2024).

**Informed Consent:** Informed consent was not obtained as the data were collected retrospectively.

#### Footnotes

#### **Authorship Contributions**

Surgical and Medical Practices: N.B.D., K.İ., B.H., M.T., G.A., Concept: N.B.D., K.İ., Design: N.B.D., K.İ., Data Collection or Processing: N.B.D., K.İ., B.H., Analysis or Interpretation: N.B.D., K.İ., M.T., G.A., Literature Search: N.B.D., K.İ., B.H., Writing: N.B.D., K.İ., M.T., G.A.

**Conflict of Interest:** No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

#### Acknowledgments

Presentation: It has been presented as an oral presentation at the 20<sup>th</sup> National Congress and the 12<sup>th</sup> Afro-Eurasia Intensive Care Meeting of the Society of Turkish Intensivists, November 21-24, 2024, Antalya, Türkiye.

#### References

- Reintam Blaser A, Gunst J, Ichai C, Casaer MP, Benstoem C, Besch G, Dauger S, Fruhwald SM, Hiesmayr M, Joannes-Boyau O, Malbrain MLNG, Perez MH, Schaller SJ, de Man A, Starkopf J, Tamme K, Wernerman J, Berger MM. Hypophosphatemia in critically ill adults and children - A systematic review. Clin Nutr. 2021;40:1744-1754. 2020.09.045. Available from: https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33268142/
- 2. Sin JCK, Laupland KB, Ramanan M, Tabah A. Phosphate abnormalities and outcomes among admissions to the intensive care unit: a retrospective multicentre cohort study. J Crit Care. 2021;64:154–159. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33906104/
- Wang L, Xiao C, Chen L, Zhang X, Kou Q. Impact of hypophosphatemia on outcome of patients in intensive care unit: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Anesthesiol. 2019;24;19:86. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/31134656/
- Al Harbi SA, Al-Dorzi HM, Al Meshari AM, Tamim H, Abdukahil SAI, Sadat M, Arabi Y. Association between phosphate disturbances and mortality among critically ill patients with sepsis or septic shock. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol. 2021;28;22:30.
- Sin JCK, King L, Ballard E, Llewellyn S, Laupland KB, Tabah A. Hypophosphatemia and outcomes in ICU: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Intensive Care Med. 2021;36:1025-1035.
- Geerse DA, Bindels AJ, Kuiper MA, Roos AN, Spronk PE, Schultz MJ. Treatment of hypophosphatemia in the intensive care unit: a review. Crit Care. 2010;14:R147.
- Pourhassan M, Müller MJ, Volkert D, Wirth R. Hypophosphatemia as a sign of malnutrition in older hospitalized patients. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2019;73:634-636.
- Ferlicolak L, Altintas ND. Refeeding hypophosphatemia in oldest old critically III patients. Ir J Med Sci. 2024 Apr;193:1085-1089.
- Bezerra A, Alves dos Santos PA, Santos LS, Petribu MMV. Prevalence of hypophosphatemia and risk of refeeding syndrome in elderly patients admitted to an intensive care unit. Demetra. 2021;16:e58362.
- García Martín A, Varsavsky M, Cortés Berdonces M, Ávila Rubio V, Alhambra Expósito MR, Novo Rodríguez C, Rozas Moreno P, Romero Muñoz M, Jódar Gimeno E, Rodríguez Ortega P, Muñoz Torres M. Phosphate disorders and clinical management of hypophosphatemia and hyperphosphatemia. Endocrinol Diabetes Nutr (Engl Ed). 2020;67:205-215. Available from: https://www.e-publicacoes.uerj.br/index.php/demetra/article/view/53791
- Lima W Jr, Calderaro DC, Passos R, Côrte M, Leal JA, Mayrink MO, Ferreira G. Lower mean phosphate independently predicts mortality in critically ill patients: results from a prospective cohort study. J Crit Care. 2023;75:154273.
- 12. Suzuki S, Egi M, Schneider AG, Bellomo R, Hart GK, Hegarty C. Hypophosphatemia in critically ill patients. J Crit Care. 2013;28:536.e9-19.
- Flaatten H, de Lange DW, Artigas A, Bin D, Moreno R, Christensen S, Joynt GM, Bagshaw SM, Sprung CL, Benoit D, Soares M, Guidet B. The status of intensive care medicine research and a future agenda for very old patients in the ICU. Intensive Care Med. 2017;43:1319–1328.
- 14. Angus DC, Shorr AF, White A, Dremsizov TT, Schmitz RJ, Kelley MA; COMPACCS Committee. Critical care delivery in the United States: distribution of services and compliance with Leapfrog recommendations. Crit Care Med. 2006;34:1016-1024.

- 15. Kagansky N, Levy S, Koren-Morag N, Berger D, Knobler H. Hypophosphataemia in old patients is associated with the refeeding syndrome and reduced survival. J Intern Med. 2005;257:461-468.
- 16. Jiang J, Bao J, Yang R, Zhang H, Wang Y, Zhang H. Effect of hypophosphatemia on the prognosis of critically ill patients. Zhonghua Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue. 2022;34:858-862.
- 17. Christopoulou EC, Filippatos TD, Megapanou E, Elisaf MS, Liamis G. Phosphate imbalance in patients with heart failure. Heart Fail Rev. 2017;22:349-356.
- 18. Milionis HJ, Alexandrides GE, Liberopoulos EN, Bairaktari ET, Goudevenos J, Elisaf MS. Hypomagnesemia and concurrent acid-base and electrolyte abnormalities in patients with congestive heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2002;4:167-173.
- 19. Brautbar N, Altura BM. Hypophosphatemia and hypomagnesemia result in cardiovascular dysfunction: theoretical basis for alcohol-induced cellular injury. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 1987;11:118-126.
- 20. Claudius I, Sachs C, Shamji T. Hypophosphatemia-induced heart failure. Am J Emerg Med. 2002 Jul;20:369-370.
- 21. Schwartz A, Brotfain E, Koyfman L, Kutz R, Gruenbaum SE, Klein M, Zlotnik A. Association between 2020;67:205-215.

- 22. Megapanou E, Florentin M, Milionis H, Elisaf M, Liamis G. Drug-induced hypophosphatemia: current Insights. Drug Saf. 2020;43:197-210.
- 23. Corona LP, de Oliveira Duarte YA, Lebrão ML. Markers of nutritional status and mortality in older adults: The role of anemia and hypoalbuminemia. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2018;18:177-182.
- 24. Cioffi I, Ponzo V, Pellegrini M, Evangelista A, Bioletto F, Ciccone G, Pasanisi F, Ghigo E, Bo S. The incidence of the refeeding syndrome. A systematic review and meta-analyses of literature. Clin Nutr. 2021;40:3688-3701.
- 25. Liu B, Cheng Y, Shen F, Wang Y, Wu Y, Yao L, Liu Y, Gou X. Hypophosphatemia is associated with poor prognosis of critically ill patients: a meta-analysis of 1 555 patients. Zhonghua Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue. 2018:30:34-40. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29308755/
- 26. Shor R, Halabe A, Rishver S, Tilis Y, Matas Z, Fux A, Boaz M, Weinstein J. Severe hypophosphatemia in sepsis as a mortality predictor. Ann Clin Lab Sci. 2006;36:67-72. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/16501239/

	All patients	Survivors		
	(n=433)	(n=236)	Non-survivors (n=197)	p
Baseline characteristics and ICU admission	on data			
Age*	77 (70-83)	77 (71-83)	76 (69-84)	0.437
Gender, n (%)				
Female	198 (45.7)	119 (50.4)	79 (40.1)	0.032
Male	235 (54.3)	117 (49.6)	118 (59.9)	
APACHE II Score	20 (16-27)	18 (15-22)	26 (20-32)	<0.00
SOFA Score	6 (3-9)	5 (3-7)	9 (6-12)	<0.00
Glasgow Coma Scale*	13 (8-15)	14 (12-15)	10 (5-14)	<0.00
Length of ICU stay (day)*	6 (3-15)	6 (3-10)	8 (4-20)	0.003
Reason of ICU admission, n (%)				
Sepsis	225 (52)	81 (34.3)	144 (73.1)	<0.007
Renal failure	216 (50)	109 (46.2)	107 (54.3)	0.085
Respiratory failure	243 (56.1)	123 (52.1)	120 (60.9)	0.06
Cardiac decompensation	103 (23.8)	55 (23.3)	48 (24.4)	0.784
Acute GI disorders	46 (10.6)	22 (9.3)	24 (12.1)	0.331
Acute hepatobiliary disease	38 (8.7)	20 (8.5)	18 (9.1)	0.802
Acute neurological disorders	54 (12.5)	28 (11.8)	26 (13.2)	0.668
Metabolic disturbances	16 (3.7)	9 (3.8)	7 (3.6)	1.0
Surgery	13 (3)	7 (6)	6 (3)	1.0
Trauma	7 (1.6)	4 (1.7)	3 (1.5)	1.0
Comorbidities, n (%)				
Chronic renal disease	127 (29.3)	58 (24.6)	69 (35)	0.972
Pulmonary disease	156 (36.0)	89 (37.7)	67 (34)	0.421

## Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of baseline obstrateristics and ICIL related data apporting to ICIL mortality

	All patients	Survivors	Non-survivors (n=197)	p
	(n=433)	(n=236)		
Cardiac disorders	315 (72.7)	167 (69.5)	148 (75.1)	0.313
Gastroenterological disorders	23 (5.3)	9 (3.8)	14 (7.1)	0.129
Neurological	105 (24.2)	62 (26.3)	43 (21.8)	0.277
Rheumatological	13 (3)	8 (3.3)	5 (2.5)	0.603
Endocrinological	161 (37.2)	90 (38.1)	71 (36)	0.617
Malignancy	149 (34.4)	59 (25)	90 (45.7)	<0.001
Concominant shock with septic patients, n (%)	194 (44.8)	55 (23.3)	139 (70.5)	<0.001
Source of sepsis, n (%)				
Respiratory system	90 (20.8)	24 (10.2)	66 (33.5)	0.003
Urinary tract	74 (17.1)	36 (15.3)	38 (19.2)	0.016
BSI	74 (17.1)	28 (11.9)	46 (23.3)	0.133
Abdominal	22 (5.1)	11 (4.7)	11 (5.6)	0.212
Soft tissue	12 (2.8)	5 (2.1)	7 (3.6)	0.368
Others	3 (1)	0	3 (1.5)	0.290
RIFLE stage, n (%)				
Risk	109 (25.2)	61 (25.8)	48 (24.3)	0.711
Injury	64 (14.8)	32 (13.6)	32 (16.2)	0.438
Failure	83 (19.2)	33 (14)	50 (25.4)	0.003
Loss	11 (2.5)	10 (4.2)	1 (0.5)	0.014
Endstage	32 (7.4)	12 (5.1)	20 (10.2)	0.045
Nutritional support, n (%)				
Parenteral	34 (7.9)	14 (5.9)	20 (10.2)	0.101
Enteral	250 (57.7)	125 (53)	125 (63.5)	0.031
Requirement of respiratory support, n (%)				
Invasive mechanical ventilation	224 (51.7)	50 (21.2)	174 (88.3)	<0.00
Non-invasive mechanical ventilation	98 (22.6)	64 (27.1)	34 (17.2)	0.013
HFNO	22 (5.1)	11 (4.7)	11 (5.6)	0.655
Requirement of RRT, n (%)				
Hemodialysis	110 (25.4)	42 (17.8)	68 (34.5)	<0.00
CRRT	86 (19.9)	9 (3.8)	77 (39)	<0.001
Albumin replacement, n (%)	149 (34.4)	51 (21.6)	98 (49.7)	< 0.00

#### Supplementary Table 1 Continued

\*Median (25<sup>th</sup> percentile-75<sup>th</sup> percentile). APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation, SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, ICU: Intensive care unit, BSI: Bloodstream infection, HFNO: High flow nasal oxygen, RRT: Renal replacement therapy, CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy, RIFLE: Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function, and End-stage kidney disease

	All patients	Survivors	Non-survivors	
	(n=433)	(n=236)	(n=197)	р
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)	42 (28-61)	39 (24-60)	46 (32-64)	0.005
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.58 (0.95-2.72)	1.41 (0.93-2.65)	1.76 (0.96-2.84)	0.264
Sodium (mEq/L)	139 (134-142)	139 (135-142)	138 (134-142)	0.333
Potassium (mEq/L)	4.05 (3.55-4.6)	3.99 (3.5-4.65)	4.12 (3.57-4.71)	0.389
Chlorine (mEq/L)	103 (99-108)	103 (99-107)	103 (98-108)	0.740
Calcium (mg/dL)	9.1 (8.5-9.6)	9.1 (8.54-9.5)	9.2 (8.4-9.6)	0.462
Phosphorus (mg/dL)	3.6 (2.8-4.9)	3.5 (2.7-4.5)	3.9 (2.9-5.5)	0.023
Magnesium (mg/dL)	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	2.0 (1.7-2.2)	0.195
Alanine transaminase (U/L)	23 (13-51)	20 (12-33)	29 (16-72)	< 0.001
Aspartat transaminase (U/L)	34 (19-71)	28 (18-52)	42 (22-105)	<0.001
Lactate dehidrogenase (U/L)	362 (217-468)	265 (202-369)	371 (267-577)	<0.001
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.92 (0.59-1.62)	0.79 (0.55-1.32)	1.13 (0.65-1.95)	< 0.001
Direct bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.28 (0.15-0.7)	0.22 (0.13-0.46)	0.41 (0.2-1.06)	< 0.001
Albumin (g/dL)	2.6 (2.3-3.0)	2.8 (2.4-3.3)	2.5 (2.2-2.8)	< 0.001
Blood gase sampling				
рН	7.36 (7.29-7.44)	7.38 (7.32-7.45)	7.34 (7.25-7.42)	<0.001
HCO <sub>3</sub> (mEq/L)	20.8 (16.9-25.4)	22.4 (18.4-26.5)	19.5 (15-23.8)	<0.001
Lactate (mmol/L)	2 (1.3-3.4)	1.75 (1.1-2.8)	2.3 (1.6-4.8)	< 0.001
White blood cell count (10³/µl)	10530 (6910-15700)	10500 (7000-14800)	10700 (6700-16900)	0.537
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	97.2 (42.5-171)	81 (29-154)	117 (71-188)	< 0.001
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	0.93 (0.28-3.97)	0.58 (0.21-3.11)	1.44 (0.41-5.2)	< 0.001

	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	р
Gender	1.22 (0.65-2.27)	0.538
APACHE II Score	1.09 (1.04-1.15)	0.001
SOFA Score	1.04 (0.93-1.17)	0.455
Sepsis as a reason for ICU admission	2.08 (1.10-3.92)	0.025
Respiratory failure as a reason for ICU admission	1.51 (0.77-2.94)	0.232
Malignancy for comorbidities	2.60 (1.34-5.06)	0.005
The absence of renal injury according to RIFLE classification	1.53 (0.75-3.14)	0.244
Requirement of MV	8.0 (3.89-16.47)	<0.001
Requirement of RRT	3.74 (1.86-7.54)	<0.001
pH	0.19 (0.1-3.18)	0.250
Lactate level	1.02 (0.89-1.16)	0.826
Hypoalbuminemia	2.4 (1.16-4.96)	0.018

APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation ICU: Intensive care unit, MV: Mechanical ventilation, RRI: Renal replacement therapy, SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval